

1. The following commitments $\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$ are computed:

$$t_1 = g^u \bmod p$$

$$t_2 = g^v \bmod p$$

$$t_3 = (D_{12}a)^u \cdot \beta^{-v} \bmod p$$

Net verifies transaction correctness by verifying the following identities

$$g^h = a^h \cdot t_1 \bmod p \quad // A proves that she knows her \text{A}k = x$$

$$g^s = (D_{34}\beta)^h \cdot t_2 \bmod p \quad // A proves that she knows her random parameter i_{34} used for encryption$$

$$(E_{34}\beta)^h \cdot (E_{12}a)^{-h} \cdot (D_{12}a)^h \cdot \beta^{-s} = t_3 \bmod p$$

A proves that based on her knowledge of x and i_{34} , the ciphertexts $c_{12}a$ and $c_{34}\beta$ are equivalent.

$$g^r = g^{xh+u} = g^{xh} \cdot g^u = (g^x)^h \cdot g^u = a^h \cdot t_1 \bmod p;$$

$$a = g^x \bmod p$$

$$g^s = g^{i_{34}*h+v} = g^{i_{34}*h} \cdot g^v = (g^{i_{34}})^h \cdot g^v = (D_{34}\beta)^h \cdot t_2 \bmod p;$$

$$\frac{(n_{34} \cdot \beta)^{i_{34}}}{E_{34}\beta} \cdot \frac{g^{i_{34}}}{D_{34}\beta} = c_{34}\beta$$

$$(E_{34}\beta)^h = (n_{34} \cdot \beta^{i_{34}})^h = (n_{34})^h \cdot (D_{34}\beta)^h \bmod p.$$

$$(E_{12}a)^{-h} = (n_{12} \cdot a^{i_{12}})^{-h} = (n_{12})^{-h} \cdot a^{-(i_{12}*h)} \bmod p;$$

$$(D_{12}a)^r = (g^{i_{12}})^r = (g^{i_{12}*x*h + i_{12}*u}) = (g^x)^{i_{12}*h} \cdot (g^{i_{12}})^u = a^{h*i_{12}} \cdot (g^{i_{12}})^u = a^{h*i_{12}} \cdot (D_{12}a)^u \bmod p;$$

$$(E_{12}a, D_{12}a) = (n_{12} \cdot a^{i_{12}}, g^{i_{12}}) = c_{12}a$$

$$r = (x \cdot h + u) \bmod (p-1)$$

$$s = (i_{34} \cdot h + v) \bmod (p-1)$$

$$\beta^{-s} = \beta^{-i_{34}*h-v} = \beta^{-i_{34}*h} \cdot \beta^{-v} = (D_{34}\beta)^{-h} \cdot \beta^{-v} \bmod p;$$

$$(E_{34}\beta)^h \cdot (E_{12}a)^{-h} \cdot (D_{12}a)^r \cdot \beta^{-s} \bmod p ==$$

$$==== \underbrace{(n34)^h \cdot (D_{34\beta})^h}_{\text{---} \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \text{---}} \cdot (n12)^{-h} \cdot \underbrace{a^{-(i12*h)}}_{\text{---} \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \text{---}} \cdot \underbrace{a^{i12*u} \cdot (D_{12a})^u}_{\text{---} \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \text{---}} \cdot \underbrace{(D_{34\beta})^{-h} \cdot \beta^{-v}}_{\text{---} \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \text{---}} \text{ mod } p ===$$

If balance equation is valid, then $n34 = n12 = n \text{ mod } p$ then $(n34)^h = (n12)^{-h} = n^{-h} \text{ mod } p$ and $(n34)^h \cdot (n12)^{-h} = n \cdot n^{-h} = 1 \text{ mod } p$.

$$==== \underbrace{(n34)^h \cdot (n12)^{-h}}_{\text{---} \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \text{---}} \cdot (D_{12a})^u \cdot \beta^{-v} \text{ mod } p ===$$

$$==== 1 \cdot (D_{12a})^u \cdot \beta^{-v} ==== \underbrace{(D_{12a})^u \cdot \beta^{-v}}_{\text{---} \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \text{---}} = t_3.$$

The correctness of (30), (31) is proved by the following identities:

$$g^r = g^{vh+u} = g^{vh} \cdot g^u = (g^v)^h \cdot g^u = a^h \cdot t_1; \quad (33)$$

$$g^s = g^{lh+v} = g^{lh} \cdot g^v = (g^l)^h \cdot g^v = (\delta_{\beta,E})^h \cdot t_2. \quad (34)$$

The correctness of (32) is proved by considering every multiplier separately:

$$(\epsilon_{\beta,E})^h = (E \cdot \beta^l)^h = E^h \cdot \beta^{lh}; \quad (35)$$

$$(\epsilon_{a,l})^{-h} = (I \cdot a^k)^{-h} = I^{-h} \cdot a^{-kh}; \quad (36)$$

$$(\delta_{a,l})^r = (g^k)^r = (g^{kvh+ku}) = (g^v)^{hk} \cdot (g^k)^u = a^{hk} \cdot (g^k)^u = a^{hk} \cdot (\delta_{a,l})^u; \quad (37)$$

$$\beta^{-s} = \beta^{-lh-v} = \beta^{-lh} \cdot \beta^{-v}. \quad (38)$$

Notice that k is not known to Alice and is included in $(\delta_{a,l})$. If the transaction is honest, then the transaction balance (1) is satisfied and $I=E$ since. Then $E^h \cdot I^{-h} = 1 \text{ mod } p$, and putting it all together, we obtain:

$$E^h \cdot \beta^{lh} \cdot I^{-h} \cdot a^{-kh} \cdot a^{hk} \cdot (\delta_{a,l})^u \cdot \beta^{-lh} \cdot \beta^{-v} = (\delta_{a,l})^u \cdot \beta^{-v} = t_3. \quad (39)$$

This is the proof to the Net that the balance equation (1) is valid.